## CSE 591 Randomized and Approximation Algorithms

Goran Konjevod

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Arizona State University

ASU, Fall 2008

- Instructor: Goran Konjevod, BY450, goran@asu.edu
- Office Hours: TTh 13:30-15:00
- TA: Melih Onus, monus@asu.edu
- Office Hours: TBA

- Homeworks (6–7): 30%
- Midterm (early November): 30%
- Project: 40%

Leaving decisions to chance.

Randomized algorithm: allowed to invoke a random event and use the outcome to determine the next step.

Basic random events:

- Basic: generate a random bit
- Omplex: generate a random number (int/float)
- Somplex: generate a random object of some general type

Randomization may

- make complicated algorithms simpler
- make inefficient computations efficient (quicksort, mincut)
- make possible things we don't know how to do deterministically (primality testing in P, matching in parallel)
- make possible things that are provably impossible to do deterministically (volume computation, distributed protocols)

**Input:** set *S* of numbers.

**Output:** the elements of *S* sorted in increasing order.

- Choose  $y \in S$  uniformly at random.
- $\ \, {\it Omega} \ \, {\it S}_1 = \{ x \in {\it S} \mid x < y \}, \ \, {\it S}_2 = \{ x \in {\it S} \mid x > y \}.$
- **3** Recursively sort  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .
- Output sorted  $S_1$ , followed by y, followed by sorted  $S_2$ .

Let 
$$s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \ldots \leq s_n$$
 be the set  $S$  in order.  
Let  
 $X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & s_i \text{ is compared to } s_j \\ 0 & s_i \text{ is not compared to } s_j \end{cases}$ 

Number of comparisons made is  $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j>i} X_{ij}$ .

Sample space: set  $\Omega$  of all possible outcomes (quicksort: the set of all possible runs of the algorithm on input S) Events: subsets of  $\Omega$  (example: let x be an element of S. The set  $A_x$  of all possible runs where the first element selected is x) Probability of an event ( $\Pr[A_x] = 1/n$ ). Random variable: mapping from  $\Omega$  to real numbers ( $X_{ij}$ ). Expectation of a random variable: its "average value",

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Pr[\omega] \cdot X(\omega)$$

 $(\mathbf{E}[X_{ij}] = \Pr[X_{ij} = 1] \cdot 1 + \Pr[X_{ij} = 0] \cdot 0 = \Pr[X_{ij} = 1]).$ 

Expectation is linear: for random variables X and Y, numbers a, b,

$$\mathsf{E}[aX + bY] = a\mathsf{E}[X] + b\mathsf{E}[Y].$$

Example: in randomized quicksort,

$$\mathbf{E}[T_n] = \mathbf{E}[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j>i} [X_{ij}]]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j>i} \mathbf{E}[X_{ij}]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j>i} \Pr[X_{ij} = 1].$$

 $X_{ij} = 1$  if and only if  $s_i$  and  $s_j$  are compared. When are  $s_i$  and  $s_j$  compared?

Exactly if either  $s_i$  or  $s_j$  is selected before any of the elements  $s_i, s_{i+1}, \ldots, s_{j-1}, s_j$ .

The probability of this happening is 2/(j - i + 1).

$$\mathbf{E}[T_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j>i} \Pr[X_{ij} = 1] = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j>i} \frac{2}{j-i+1}$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{n-i+1} \frac{2}{k}$$
  
$$\leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \leq 2nH_n = O(n \ln n).$$

A *cut* in *G*: a set of edges that disconnects the graph. For a set  $C \subseteq V$ , let  $\overline{C} = V \setminus C$ . Then  $(C, \overline{C})$  defines a cut. We write

$$(C,\overline{C}) = \{uv \in E \mid u \in C, v \in \overline{C}\}.$$

Input: (multi)graph G = (V, E). Output: a cut of minimum cardinality in G.

Polynomially solvable,  $O(n^3)$  time (but not simple). Need *n* minimum *st*-cuts or the Stoer-Wagner algorithm.

## Simple randomized algorithm for mincut

- Pick an edge e uniformly at random.
- Ontract e.
- O Repeat until there are only two vertices left.

Claim: Contractions do not decrease the minimum cut value.

Let k be the minimum cut cardinality. Let C be a minimum cut. G has at least kn/2 edges.

For i = 1, ..., n - 2, let  $A_i$  be the event that no edge of C was contracted in the *i*-th step.

If all of the events  $A_1, \ldots, A_{n-2}$  happen, then the algorithm finds the minimum cut C.

$$\Pr[A_1] \ge 1 - \frac{2}{n} = \frac{n-2}{n}.$$

If  $A_1$  happens, then before the second step of the algorithm there are at least k(n-1)/2 edges in the graph.

$$\Pr[A_2 \mid A_1] \ge 1 - \frac{2}{n-1} = \frac{n-3}{n-1}$$

In general, if  $A_1, \ldots, A_{i-1}$  happen, then before the *i*-th step there are at least k(n-i+1)/2 edges in the graph and so

$$\Pr[A_i \mid A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{i-1}] \ge 1 - \frac{2}{n-i+1} = \frac{n-i-1}{n-i+1}$$

## The probability that no edge of C is contracted is

$$\Pr[A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_{n-2}]$$

Two events A and B are independent, if

```
\Pr[A \cap B] = \Pr[A] \Pr[B].
```

The conditional probability of A given B is defined by

$$\Pr[A \mid B] = \frac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]}.$$

For any two events A, B, we have

$$\Pr[A \cap B] = \Pr[A \mid B] \cdot \Pr[B].$$

In general,

 $\Pr[A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k] = \Pr[A_k \mid A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_{k-1}] \cdots \Pr[A_2 \mid A_1] \cdot \Pr[A_1].$ 

The probability that no edge of C is contracted is

$$\Pr[A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_{n-2}] \ge \frac{n-2}{n} \cdot \frac{n-3}{n-1} \cdot \frac{n-4}{n-2} \cdots \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{2}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$$
$$= \frac{2}{n(n-1)}.$$

The probability that C is output by the algorithm is at least  $2/n^2$ . Suppose we repeat the algorithm  $n^2/2$  times, each time with new independent random choices.

The probability that C is not found in any of the  $n^2/2$  runs is then at most

$$(1-\frac{2}{n^2})^{n^2/2} < \frac{1}{e}$$

that

So far: an  $O(n^2m)$  algorithm for mincut. To improve, notice that earlier steps are safer than later ones.

How far can we go until the probability of having lost C is 1/2? If there are about  $n/\sqrt{2}$  vertices left, the success probability is at least

$$\frac{n-2}{n} \cdot \frac{n-3}{n-1} \cdots \frac{n/\sqrt{2}-2}{n/\sqrt{2}} = \frac{(n/\sqrt{2}-3)(n/\sqrt{2}-2)}{n(n-1)},$$
  
is, roughly  
$$\frac{1}{2}.$$

Now think of these first  $n - n/\sqrt{2}$  steps as a single experiment! Its outcome is either success or failure, and the probability of success is at least 1/2.

Perform this experiment twice, then if one of the two runs succeeded, recurse.

Build a binary tree to describe the process. Depth =  $2 \lg n$ , number of leaves =  $n^2$ . If each edge is erased independently with probability 1/2, what is the probability that a root-leaf path survives? If  $P_d$  is the probability a path survives in a tree of depth d, then

$$P_{d} = \frac{1}{2}P_{d-1} + \frac{1}{4}(1 - (1 - P_{d-1})^{2})$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2}P_{d-1} + \frac{1}{4}(2P_{d-1} - (P_{d-1})^{2})$   
=  $P_{d-1} - \frac{1}{4}(P_{d-1})^{2}$ .

Now if  $P_{d-1} > \frac{1}{d-1}$ , then  $P_d > \frac{1}{d-1} - \frac{1}{4(d-1)^2} > \frac{1}{d-1} - \frac{1}{d(d-1)} = \frac{1}{d}.$ So the probability a path survives is  $\Omega(\frac{1}{\log n})$ .

To make this a constant, repeat independently log *n* times.

What is the running time of a single "tree" process?  $T(n) = O(n^2) + 2T(n/\sqrt{2}) = O(n^2 \lg n).$ The total running time of the improved version is then  $O(n^2 \lg^2 n)$ .